

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

quired by adverse use and enjoyment under a claim of right, the use being exclusive or exercised independent of the claims of others, continuous, uninterrupted, with the knowledge and acquiescence of the owner of the land, and continued for 20 years.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 310.]

3. Easements (§ 7 (6)*)—Denial of Existence by Owner of Servient Estate Does Not Defeat.—Where title to a right of way had been acquired by prescription long before defendant became the owner of the servient estate, her refusal to acquiesce in the claim of an easement had no effect to defeat the easement.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 314.]

Error to Circuit Court, Hanover County.

Suit by Sallie Tyler against Bessie Landrum. Decree for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Affirmed.

W. D. Cardwell, of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

H. Carter Redd, of Beaver Dam, and S. A. Anderson, of Richmond, for defendant in error.

SCOTT v. RUCKER.

Jan. 22, 1920.

[101 S. E. 789]

Appeal and Error (§ 1108*)—Cause Remanded, Where Order Complained of Has Become Ineffective.—It appearing on appeal that order complained of committed an infant to the custody of its mother "for the duration of the present war, or for so long as its father, R., shall remain in the United States army," and it being suggested that the father has been discharged from service in the United States army, and hence that the order is no longer effective, the case will be remanded to the lower court for final disposition according to law.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 632.]

Error to Corporation Court of Hopewell.

Action between Mrs. C. H. Scott and Annie Maye Rucker. From an adverse decision, the former brings error. Cause remanded.

Morris & Williams, of Hopewell, for plaintiff in error.

^{*}For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.